

REMARKS

This Supplemental Reply is to supplement the Reply filed on April 2, 2003 (along with a Request for Three-Month Extension of Time and Notice of Appeal) in response to the Office Action mailed on October 2, 2002.

Applicant has added new claims 61-67. These new claims are based on previously pending claims 43-47, 54 and 55, respectively, and include the limitation of previously pending claim 51. In the Office Action mailed October 2, 2003, the Examiner stated that the previously pending claims were enabled only for immune-mediated damage caused by CIK cells and indicated that claim 51 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.¹ Since claims 61-67 include all of the limitations of claim 51, it is believed that claims 61-67 are allowable.

Objection to the Oath and Declaration

The Examiner stated that the Oath and Declaration originally filed is defective because changes were made without being initialed and dated. Applicants have prepared a corrected Oath and Declaration and will forward the document shortly once it has been appropriately executed by each inventor.

Objection to the Drawings

Applicants are currently preparing corrected formal drawings and will submit them shortly.

¹ As explained in the response dated April 2, 2003, it is Applicants' position that the claims are more broadly enabled.